

Standardization of meteorological data from offshore platforms

T. Neumann¹, F. Bégué^{1*}, F. Wilts¹, T. Leiding², B. Tinz², L. Gates², C. Senet³, P. Schwenk⁴, I. Bastigkeit⁵, S. Müller⁶

¹DEWI – UL International GmbH *f.begue@dewi.de, ²Deutscher Wetterdienst, ³Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie, ⁴DNV GL, ⁵Fraunhofer IWES, ⁶Wind-consult GmbH



Introduction

In order to investigate conditions for offshore wind power generation in the German coastal areas, three research platforms were constructed in the North Sea (FINO1 and 3) and the Baltic Sea (FINO2). Measurement masts at each platform are equipped with a range of meteorological sensors at heights of 30 m to 100 m above sea level. Standardized analysis and interpretation oft he data is necessary to compare the results oft he different platforms and will improve the knowledge oft he marine ambient conditions att he three locations. Standards given in the IEC can only be partly applied as some requirements are not applicable to offshore masts e.g. due to the wake oft he structure. One aim oft he FINO-Wind project is the correction and standardisation of offshore mast measurements. All three FINO masts, which are either square or triangular shaped and have different boom constellations, are intensively investigated by comparison with remote sensing techniques as Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR), Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) calculations, the Uniform Ambient Mastf low (UAM)-method [1] and wind tunnel measurements. As an example of the topics in FINO-Wind an analysis of different possible mast corrections is performed and discussed.



UAM correction

FINO2 windprofiles, unstable atmospheric conditions



Fig. 1: Based on the assumption thatt he vertical profile of horizontal wind speed almost vanishes during unstable

LiDAR mast correction



Fig. 2: Prerequisite for a LiDAR based



0

atmospheric conditions and that any deviation from that is due to mast low distortion a mast correction can be derived. A logarithmic wind profile is calculated from measurements from the least disturbed wind direction sector during unstable conditions. This profile is the top-anemometer applied to measurementf or any other wind direction during unstable conditions to calculate the undisturbed wind speed at every boom. The ratios oft his calculated and measured wind speeds resulti n mast correction factors after bin wise averaging with regard to wind direction.



mast correction is the assumption that the LiDAR measurementi s undisturbed. Datasets with one oft he LiDAR beams downwind oft he mast are removed as the gaps show in the picture on the left. The mast correction function can be from the mean derived bin-wise quotientf Lidar rom and cupwind anemometer speed The black dots are measurements. showing every single wind speed quotient, the red crosses reflect he bin average values. By interpolating the bin mean values correction factors for every wind direction can be derived.

CFD calculations – wind tunnel tests



Wind reductions Fig. 3: speed calculated by CFD methods are in good agreementt wind tunnel 0 measurements of a simplified mast segment model. Followed by this study CFD calculations of detailed 10 m FINO1 mast segments were carried out with OpenFoam[®]. Turbulence was considered by using the k-E Re-Normalisation group-model [2]. The ratios oft he modeled inflow wind speeds and the wind speeds calculated att he cup-anemometer positions are derived for differenti nflow directions and shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 4: If a triangular shaped mast and an instrumentation set-up with three cupanemometers orientated to three different directions is available an alternative is the calculation of a composed wind speed. Ift he wind flow approaches from the wind direction interval 225° -345° (between boom A and B) the average of cup at boom A and B would be calculated - the same

applies for the wind direction intervals 345° -105° (between B and C) and 105° -225° (between C and A). Wind speed lowering flow distortion effects at one cupanemometer are compensated by wind speed increasing flow distortion effects at the second cup-anemometer.

Results



FINO2 mast corrections



FINO3 mast corrections



Fig. 7: Mast correction factors derived for FINO3 from LiDAR method

Fig. 5: Mast correction factors derived for FINO1 from UAM, LiDAR and CFD method.

Fig. 6: Mast correction factors derived for FINO2 from UAM and LiDAR method.

(dotted line). For comparison the ratio oft he composed and the measured wind speed is also shown (continuous line).

Conclusions and Outlook

[1] A. Westerhellweg, T. Neumann, V. Riedel, FINO1 Mast Correction, DEWI Magazin, 2012.
[2] F. Wilts, B. Canadillas, F. Kinder, T. Neumann, CFD calculations of FINO1 mast effects, CEWE 2014,

Hamburg.

All oft he derived mast corrections show similar mast distortion effects for each oft he FINO masts with large wind speed reduction for the anemometers if downwind oft he mast and slight wind speed reduction if upwind oft he mast. The anemometers receive speed-up effects during lateral inflow. For each oft he FINO masts one distinctive mast correction method with least uncertainties can be identified and shall be applied to measured wind speeds in the future. Wind farms are being erected close to each FINO platform, therefore at a next step wake field situations for each mast from existing and planned wind farms in the surroundings shall be investigated in order estimate these effects on the measurements.

Acknowledgements

The **FINO-Wind** research projecti s funded under the 'Wind Energy' initiative oft he German Federal Ministry for the Economic Affairs and Energy and project management Projektträger Jülich for the period 2013 to 2015.

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy





Fraunhofer
IWES





EWEA Offshore 2015 – Copenhagen – 10-12 March 2015

