

Abstract

### **Bucket Foundation and Scour**

**1. Bucket Foundation** 

Innovative caisson foundation (mono-pad or multi-pad) that uses differential pressure to penetrate into the soil

2. Scour

Soil erosion around a foundation in offshore conditions caused by currents and waves

## Importance of No Scour Protection

1. Low Costs



No costs compared to 0.15 mil € for protection of a standard wind turbine monopile foundation Ref. 1

#### 2. High Safety

Unprotected monopile may loose stability or collapse in most attractive wind farm sites due to scour conditions

### **3. No Environment Impact**

No disturbance of neither inland nor sea environments by removing onshore rocks to dump on seabed

Scale: 1:700

0.5 -1.0

1.5 - 2.0 2.0 - 2.5 2.5 - 3.0

3.0 - 3.5

3.5 - 4.0

4.0 - 4.5

< 0.1 0.1 - 0.2

0.2 - 0.3

0.3 - 0.4

0.6 - 0.7

Ref. 2

0.7 - 0.8 0.8 - 0.9

#### Objectives

### **Experience from real Projects**

Present the bathymetry results from latest scour surveys around the bucket foundations installed in the North Sea.

Methods

### Horns Rev II

**1. Design Conditions**  $H_{max} = 15 \text{ m} | U_{current} = 1.5 \text{ m/s}$  $D_{\text{bucket}} = 12 \text{ m}$  |  $D_{\text{shaft}} = 4.5 \text{ m}$  | ratio 2.7

# Dogger Bank E.

1. Design Conditions  $H_{max} = 19 \text{ m} | U_{current} = 1.1 \text{ m/s}$ 

 $D_{\text{bucket}} = 15 \text{ m}$  |  $D_{\text{shaft}} = 4.0 \text{ m}$  | ratio 3.8

**Dogger Bank W. 1. Design Conditions**  $H_{max} = 19 \text{ m} | U_{current} = 1.0 \text{ m/s}$  $D_{\text{bucket}} = 15 \text{ m}$  |  $D_{\text{shaft}} = 4.0 \text{ m}$  | ratio 3.8

- 2. Survey Results after 4.5 years Scour = 0.6
- **3. Experienced Sever Storms** Several storms



**2. Survey Results after 1.6 years** Scour = 1.0 m

**3. Experienced Sever Storms** Two storms



**2. Survey Results after 1.1 years** Scour = 0.8m

#### **3. Experienced Sever Storms** Two storms



Hmax – maximum design wave height; Dbucket/Dshaft – diameters of the Bucket Foundation; Ucurrent – maximum design current speeds;

#### Conclusions

### Findings

1. The caisson acts as a scour protection in the vicinity of the shaft/legs

- 2. The scour development around bucket foundations is insignificant in flow speeds less than 1 [m/s]
- 3. The predominate current flow will scour sediments around the bucket; while, predominate waves flow will backfill the hole

### Guidelines

- 1. Diameter of caisson to shaft/legs diameter ratio larger than 2.7 will increase the protection against scour and will generate backfill
- 2. With caisson's lid as close to seabed when completed installation limited the scour from the exposed skirt
- 3. The installation time must be decayed with respect to the tide maximum intensity to limit the time of exposed skirt which generates scour during installation

#### References

- 1. Prediction scour in offshore wind turbines now a breeze, DHI Water and Environment
- 2. Bathymetry Survey, DONG Energy A/S
- 3. Bathymetry Survey, Universal Foundation A/S



Notations

EWEA Offshore 2015 – Copenhagen – 10-12 March 2015

