



Wind energy and Climate policy Fixing the Emission Trading System

Rémi Gruet

Senior Advisor - Climate Change & Environment European Wind Energy Association

^{1st} February 2012

EWEA Members – Across entire supply chain







Outline

- EU power A clear shift towards renewables
- Reducing emissions is possible 30% is a minimum
- Macro-economic benefits of wind
- Fixing the Emission Trading System



Current status



Cumulative installations in EU (GW)





EU installed power generating capacity per year (MW)



Net electricity generating installations in EU 2000-2011 (GW)





Wind and nuclear - 2/3







Source: EWEA, GWEC and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)

Wind share of electricity consumption







Wind power installed in Europe by end of 2011 (MW)



Wind installed capacity -



Development and 230GW scenario to 2020



Similar targets in other 2020 scenarios... IEA = 199 GW NREAPs = 213 GW EC = 222 GW 0 230GW 581 TWh 16% of EU demand



Outline of presentation

- EU power A clear shift towards renewables
- Reducing emissions is possible 30% is a minimum
- Fixing the Emission Trading System
- Macro-economic benefits of wind





EWEA Report – Wind Energy and EU climate policy

- Objectives:
- Evaluating mitigation potential of wind energy
- Examining EU targets and UNFCCC pledges
- Analysing the EU
 Emission Trading System
- Conclusions on the ideal climate policy mix



Wind energy and EU climate policy Achieving 30% lower emissions by 2020

A report by the European Wind Energy Association - October 2011



Wind power will avoid as much CO₂ as... Renewable electricity makes a move to 30% possible in the EU



Wind power = domestic reductions



- EU climate package allows for about 60% CDM/JI
- Domestic reductions can be only 40% of the total effort

FIG 3: EU EMISSION REDUCTION TARGET - TOTAL PERCENTAGE AND ACCESS TO OFFSETS (CDM/JI)



Wind power = domestic reductions



- EU climate package allows for about 60% CDM/JI
- Domestic reductions can be only 40% of the total effort

FIG 3: EU EMISSION REDUCTION TARGET - TOTAL PERCENTAGE AND ACCESS TO OFFSETS (CDM/JI)





Reduction Effort and wind-avoided CO2 Kyoto Protocol + Climate Package (2008-2020)





EU 2020 – Wind power versus car emissions

2010 – Wind avoids
126 MtCO₂, eq. to
→ 64 million cars
→ 30% of EU fleet !

2020 - wind avoids
342 MtCO₂, eq. to
→ 173 million cars
→ 81% of EU fleet !

EU fleet - 214 million cars





Global Wind contribution to Cancun pledges

Aggregated Annex I pledges

➔ 12%-18% of 1990 emissions

Versus Global Wind in 2020

- 1081 GW installed capacity
- 2650 TWh produced
- ➔ 1591 Mt CO₂ avoided

Party	Information relating to possible QELROs		Status of	and a second sec
	Range or single value by 2020	Reference year	possible QELROs	Inclusion of LULUCF ^a
Australia	-5 to -15%; or -25%	2000	Officially announced	Yes
Belarus	-5 to -10%, or -15%	1990	Under consideration	Only the upper value of -15% contains contributions from LULUCF of 5%
Canada	-20%	2006	Officially announced	Preliminary range of -2 to 2% of total 2006 emissions
Croatia ^b	+6%	1990	Under consideration	Yes
European Community (EU-27 ^c)	-20 to -30%	1990	Adopted by legislation	No for -20%; Preliminary range of -3 to 3% of 1990 emissions for -30%
Iceland	-15%	1990	Officially announced	Substantial contribution
Japan	-25%	1990	Officially announced	TBD
Liechtenstein	-20 to -30%	1990	Officially announced	No
Monaco	-20%	1990	Officially announced	No
New Zealand	-10 to -20%	1990	Officially announced	Yes
Norway	-30 to -40%	1990	Officially announced	Around 6% (3 Mt CO_2 eq)
Russian Federation	-22 to 25%	1990	Officially announced	TBD
Switzerland	-20 to -30%	1990	Officially announced	Yes
Ukraine	-20%	1990	Under	TBD



Annex I - Global Wind in 2020 will avoid... Copenhagen pledges: 12-18% reduction





Report conclusions on Wind energy and climate policy

- Wind Extensive development of wind energy will significantly reduce emissions
 - In EU, as much as 31% of the current EU GHG target
 - Renewable electricity could avoid 100% of EU domestic reductions
 - Wind globally could reduce emissions as much as 69% of pledges
- EU policy framework
 - A move to 30% reduction is very achievable
 - ETS is undermined by economic crisis and needs fixing
- UNFCCC: Annex I pledges are much too low and need raising

Climate signals to investors are rapidly disappearing !



Outline of presentation

- EU power A clear shift towards renewables
- Reducing emissions is possible 30% is a minimum
- Fixing the Emission Trading System
- Macro-economic benefits of wind





Current build-out of the oversupply to 2020

Figure 6: Example of a possible profile of annual issuance of allowances, use of international credits and surplus development





Backloading 900M allowances has a limited impact



Figure 8: Example of a possible profile with medium change in the auction profile



Backloading 1,2bn Allowances is still not enough



Figure 7: Example of a possible profile with large change in the auction profile



The ETS – a hidden subsidy to heavy industry



34% oversupply for heavy industry = low CO2-price - €7.89



The ETS – A hidden subsidy to heavy industry

- Heavy industry
 - has received too many free EUAs
 - sells them on the market (or saves them for later use)
- The power sector
 - doesn't have enough free EUAs
 - buys them cheaply to heavy industry via the market
 - Passes 100% of the cost of carbon to electricity consumers
- Conclusion:
 - EU electricity consumers pay a subsidy to heavy industry via their electricity bill and electricity companies
 - Power sector and heavy industry don't need to reduce emissions



Outline of presentation

- EU power goes renewable
- Reducing emissions is possible 30% is a minimum
- Fixing the Emission Trading System
- Macro-economic benefits of wind





Wind brings macro-economic benefits

- Energy security (EU = 54% dependence)
- Savings from fossil fuel imports (€40bn @ 88\$/bbl)
- Avoided health/environmental costs
- Zero fuel/CO2 fluctuation risk
- Jobs
 - 188,000 in 2010
 - 450,000 in 2020
- Lower electricity prices the "Merit Order Effect"
- Export opportunities / Market



54% EU Energy dependency , and growing...



Source: European Commission, 2008



EU market dominated by EU manufacturer s - 2006 to 2011



Source: BTM Consulting data for 2006-2009 and MAKE Consulting data for 2010-2011

Competitiveness – EU manufacturers are leading on world markets

EWEA





Source: MAKE Consulting data



Wind and PV today represent more jobs than nuclear



Source: EWEA, EPIA, EURACOAL, EUROGAS, EUROFER, CEMBUREAU, FORATOM



Wind creates more jobs per kWh than conventional power



Source: EWEA, EPIA, EURACOAL, EUROGAS, EUROFER, CEMBUREAU, FORATOM PRIMES 2009 update for electricity consumption



Wind creates more jobs per kWh than conventional power



Source: EWEA, EURACOAL, EUROGAS, FORATOM PRIMES 2009 update for electricity consumption





... And lowers electricity prices $and CO_2$ emissions





Global Wind installations per region 2003-2011





Conclusions

- The World will reduce emissions and has already started
 - We can either develop our climate products today
 - or wait and buy everybody else's...
- The EU has a technological advantage in renewables
- ➔ To maintain/enhance EU competitiveness we need:
 - Climate signals
 - Ambitious climate action : 30% domestic reductions
 - Higher price of carbon
 - → backloading of allowances in ETS
 - → structural measures to reform the ETS and re-install scarcity
 - 2030 Renewable energy targets
 - ETS auctioning revenue to fund investments
 - A market-ready pan-European grid
 - Financing the SET-plan leadership through innovation



During this presentation EU wind turbines saved 2,700 tCO2

Thank you very much!

Tel: +32 2 213 1836 M: +32 473 506 423 E-mail: rg@ewea.org

www.ewea.org

CLEAN VS FOSSIL-BASED GENERATING CAPACITY INVESTMENT, 2004–10 (\$BN)



Note: Investment for new build – fossil fuel calculated from EIA & IEA numbers, clean energy taken from Bloomberg New Energy Finance totals. Clean energy capacity includes small distributed capacity.

Source: IEA, EIA, Bloomberg New Energy Fir

BIOOMBERGY / / / MICHAEL LIEBREICH SUMMIT KEYNOTE, 5 APRIL 2011

CLEAN VS FOSSIL-BASED GENERATING CAPACITY INVESTMENT, 2004–11 (\$BN)



Note: Investment for new build – fossil fuel calculated from EIA & IEA numbers, clean energy taken from Bloomberg New Energy Finance totals. Clean energy capacity includes small distributed capacity.

Source: IEA, EIA, Bloomberg New Energy Fina

NEW ENERGY FINANCE

TWITTER: @MLiebreich

LEVELIZED COSTS: BEST NEW WIND VS NEW COAL (\$/MWh)

Perception:



- New coal must cover cost of capital
 - New coal requires advanced pollution control
- Wind turbines back to 2005 prices, but now perform much better
- Wind bankability has driven down cost of capital
- Coal suffers from carbon price risk

Source: Bloomberg New Energy Finance

Bloomberg / / / MICHAEL LIEBREICH SUMMIT KEYNOTE, 5 APRIL 2011

AVERAGE LEVELISED COST OF ONSHORE WIND, 1984-2011 (€/MWH)



DIOOMDERGY / / / MICHAEL LIEBREICH, 25 APRIL 2012 TWITTER: @MLiebreich