Bioacoustics Research

Dr Paul Lepper - Loughborough University





Underwater noise and impact research

"In relation to the underwater energy, Good Environmental Status certainly occurs when there is no adverse effect of energy inputs on any component of the marine environment." **EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive: Underwater noise and other forms of energy, 2010.**



Physiological / behavioural response research



Source / noise field measurements





Acoustic field modelling



Impact prediction



Mitigation technologies / processes

Sources of man-made noise

•Shipping (Commercial, military and leisure)

•Offshore renewables (constructions/operation/decommissioning) Wind (dry) Sub-surface (Wave / Tidal) (wet)

•Sonar systems

Military Commercial (Navigation) / Fisheries (Fish finders) Leisure

•Urban / industrial coastal development Harbour and bridge building

•Dredging (land reclamation / aggregate extraction)

•Petroleum and gas exploration / extraction Seismic explorations (air guns) Drilling / FPSO platforms

Fisheries and aquaculture (Fish finder sonar / Acoustic deterrents)and more

Installation Options





Jacket



Tripod / Tripile



GBS / Multiple rakers + Pile-cap



Floating





























Marine piling for offshore wind



Sherringham Shoal OWF Vessel: Svanen 4.7m diameter piles. Max energy: 1073 kJ



Resolution (max power used 1900 kJ)

Jumping Jack (max. power used 800 kJ)





Vessel: Stanislav Udin Piles: 6.0 – 6.5 m diameter Max hammer energy 1070 kJ





Potential receptors

•Marine mammals

•Fish (adult / lava)

•Crustacean/shell fish

•Diving birds

•Others (polar bears, ott

Humans(Divers / Sonar operators)





Potential receptors



Physiological and behavioural impacts

Physiological responses

• Hearing damage

Temporary Threshold Shift / Permanent Threshold Shift

Mortality

Direct (physiological damage)

Indirect (stranding, evoked decompression injury)

Behavioural response

Avoidance

Habitat exclusion long term / short term

Masking

Ability to find prey Breeding (finding partner / care for young) Predator avoidance

Key Research Areas

Marine Mammals hearing and TTS

Bottle nosed dolphins and belugas

(Finneran *et al.*, 2000-2010, Nactigall *et al*., 2003, 2004 Mooney *et al.*, 2009)

Harbour porpoise

(Lucke et al., 2009, Popov et al., 2011, Kastelein et al., 2012)

Pinnipeds

(Kastak et al., 1996, 2005, 2007, Sclundt et al., 2000, Finneran et al., 2010)

 Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Initial Scientific Recommendations (Southall *et al.*, 2007)

Fish:

- FHWG interim criteria (Popper *et al.*, 2006)
- COWRIE (Mueller-Blenkle *et al.*, 2010)
- Other guidance provided by Cefas in the UK

Impact models:

- Cumulative exposure
- Individual animal based models
- Population level consequences (PCAD / PCoD)



Harbour porpoise hearing



ACTIVE SONAR Transmit path via 'Melon' Echo reception related to lower jaw

C.C.C.

Harbour porpoise hearing

Harderwijk, Netherlands



Environmental impacts of off-shore windmills construction



Harbour porpoise hearing





TTS in harbour porpoise



Lucke et al., 2009

Kastelein et al., 2012



Large scale observation of behaviour



Acoustic detectors (T-Pod / C-Pod)

GPS tags





D-tag and controlled exposure experiments





Assessing the impact

Marine Mammal Impact Criteria:

 Marine Mammal Noise Exposure Criteria: Initial Scientific Recommendations (Southall et αl, 2007)



Dual criteria a peak exposure level (un-weighted) and frequency weighted (Mweighted) Sound Exposure Levels for different functional hearing groups

164 dB re 1 μPa²s in harbour porpoise (Lucke *et al*, 2009)

Behavioural response



Impact modelling

SEL received level



Propagation loss modelling



Cumulative exposure for a fleeing animal Scenario (start range 100m / swim speed 1.5ms⁻¹)



Soft-start = reduced exposure



Cumulative SEL (red) received by a fleeing animal (un-weighted) for a start range of 1 km, with a swim speed swim speed of 1.5 m/s and with the I4 measured energy source level of 205 dB re μ Pa²s.m². Also shown is the source level (black) and received SEL (blue).

Whole windfarm construction example (Monte Carlo approach)



- 9 Foundation construction
- 800 m spacing
- >4000 hammer strikes in around 2.5 hours
- 36 hours between piling events
- 6 hour lead in before piling
- 24 hours after piling
- Simple propagation loss model

Distribution of Exposure Risk

Spatial and temporal distribution





DEFRA

NERC – DEFRA Marine Renewable Energy Research Programme

RESPONSE: Understanding How Marine Renewable Device Operations Influence Fine Scale Habitat Use And Behaviour Of Marine Vertebrates

EBAO: Optimising Array Form for Energy Extraction and Environmental Benefit

FLOWBEC: Flow, Water column & Benthic Ecology in 4D (involves co-location of a variety of sensors to better understand physical and biological interactions

University of Plymouth

QBEX : Quantifying benefits and impacts of fishing exclusion zones on bio-resources at energy sites



























National Oceanography Centre NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCI

Summary

- Physiological and behavioural studies on some marine species is taking place on a limited number of key species of cetaceans and fish. Large knowledge gaps exist.
- Characterization of many offshore activities is beginning to take place however very little data exists on new and emerging technologies.
- Measurement standards are being developed ANSI / ISO / BSI (shipping, deep, shallow water, piling, wave energy devices, etc.) More needed, quicker.
- Key Knowledge gaps have been identified by industry and government on the effects of noise on the marine environment. These uncertainties are seen as one of the major risk barriers to many on going renewable energy developments.
- There is therefore a major driver for collaborative, multidisciplinary research to help answer these questions to support these developing industries.



Population Consequences of Acoustic Disturbance (PCAD) model



How to Get from Acoustic Disturbance to Population Effects