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Underwater sound: anthropogenic sound

- **Applications**
  - sonar, positioning and navigation, geophysical exploration, hydrographic surveying, echosounders, mine hunting, weapons guidance, oceanography, tomography, etc …

- **Radiated noise**
  - shipping, construction noise, explosive decommissioning, oil and gas platforms, etc …

- **Influence on marine fauna**
  - Marine animals use sound for echolocation and communication
  - Many are protected species
  - Effects can range from physical injury and hearing impairment (PTS and TTS) through to masking, disturbance, displacement …
  - Fish species sensitive to low frequency sound and vibration (particle velocity)
UK regulatory drivers

- Increasing legal requirements for EIA:
  - Conservation (Natural Habitats &c.) Regulations 1994 (i.e. the Habitats Regulations, HR)
  - Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats) Regulations 2007 (the Offshore Marine Regulations, OMR) 2007
  - Prohibits “deliberate disturbance”
  - Includes “anthropogenic noise”

- JNCC Guidelines (UK)
  - “The deliberate disturbance of marine European protected species”
  - JNCC advise Government
  - Licences issued by: DEFRA, DECC, etc
EU Regulation

- Working Group 3, Descriptor 11:
  - “Introduction of energy, including underwater noise, is at levels that do not adversely affect the marine environment.”
- Pollution*: “… the introduction of substances or energy, including human-induced underwater noise, which results or is likely to result in deleterious effects”

11.1. Distribution in time and place of loud, low and mid frequency impulsive sounds
11.2. Continuous low frequency sound

Response of members states: UK
Indicator 11.1 loud, low and mid frequency impulsive sounds

- Known effects:
  - “Holes” in populations
    - displacement of animals fleeing the sound
  - Lack of knowledge of how many loud impulsive noises are generated by activities

- Establish **noise register**

- Count number of impulsive sounds in specific areas (blocks)
Response of members states: UK
Indicator 11.1 loud, low and mid frequency impulsive sounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic surveys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D block pulse days</td>
<td>18374</td>
<td>14132</td>
<td>2099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D block pulse days</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>2596</td>
<td>12207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4D block pulse days</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>3130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total block pulse days</td>
<td>19783</td>
<td>16813</td>
<td>17437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pile driving</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtic Seas</td>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total pulse-block-days</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total pulse-block-days likely to rise to \(~2500\) in next decade
UK 2020 target for offshore wind power – 33 GW

An estimated 6 GW by 2015 – around 2,000 turbines

Around one/tenth the way towards 2020 target

Round 3 wind farms now in planning stages
Basic principles: Measures of sound

Measures of sound:
- peak pressure: 
  \[ p_{\text{peak}} = \max |p(t)| \]
- sound exposure: 
  \[ E = \int_0^T p^2(t) \, dt \]
- Root mean square (RMS) pressure: 
  \[ p_{\text{RMS}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T p^2(t) \, dt} = \sqrt{\frac{E}{T}} \]

all may be expressed in **decibels**

SEL is particularly useful for pulses as it considers the energy in the signal
- can be used cumulatively to calculate total exposure

For above pulse:
- Pk-pk: **189.5 dB re 1 μPa**
- Pk: **183.5 dB re 1 μPa**
- SPL: **172.5 dB re 1 μPa**
- SEL: **164.1 dB re 1 μPa^2\cdot s**

Peak and peak-peak metrics difficult to model/propagate
Sound propagation in shallow water
Sound propagation in shallow water

Sound amplitude dies away at greater range because of Transmission Loss due to:

- Spreading
- Absorption (frequency dependent)
- Interaction with boundaries (seafloor, seabed)

\[
RL = SL - TL
\]
Air versus water

- Comparisons with every day sounds can easily lead to confusion
- “It is as if the whale is strapped to a Saturn 5 rocket …” etc

- For the same energy input into the media:
  - Different acoustic impedance: ~36 dB difference in SPL
  - Different reference levels (1μPa versus 20μPa): ~26 dB difference
  - So SPL values are ~62 dB greater in water for same acoustic power or energy input

- However, natural ambient noise levels in the ocean are generally much greater than in air
- Marine creatures have evolved in this (noisier) environment and have evolved appropriate hearing responses
Deep water ambient noise (reasonably) well understood
Less data for coastal water – strong variability
Standard curves not available for shallow coastal water
Noise radiated from offshore windfarms

- Construction noise
  - Marine impact piling (impulsive noise source)
  - Other installation methods
  - Vessel noise, cable laying, etc

- Operational noise
  - Continuous noise radiated during operation

- (Decommissioning)
Offshore windfarm nose: Piling noise

Source output depends on:
- hammer energy
  - increases during “soft start”
- sea bed penetration
- sea bed and sediment properties
- pile dimensions
- water depth …

Received level depends on transmission loss variation:
- bathymetry, frequency…
- fluctuations in environmental conditions (sea state …)
Piling underway: 4.74 m diameter mono-pile
Measurement requirements and methodology

- Measure as a function of range to estimate the ‘source level’
  - Start close and move away in a survey vessel
- Fixed noise monitoring buoy measures entire piling sequence
  - provide a range independent measurement – used for ‘level calibration’
  - necessary because of soft-start
- Usually have to predict the impact beyond ranges measured
  - Requires outward propagation modelling for which the ‘measured’ source level is used
- Ambient noise measurements taken from survey vessel during non-piling activity
Temporal/spatial variation

Example: data from fixed recording system, entire piling sequence
Source Level determination

- Measure of the acoustic output of source versus range
  - far field, free field parameter, related to source acoustic power
  - characteristic of source, not environment
  - units: dB re 1 µPa at 1 m (but not equal to pressure at 1 m range!)
- Derived from measured received level in the far-field corrected for propagation loss
  - \( SL = RL + TL \)
- Propagation model needed

Why do we want Source Level?
- To compare acoustic output of sources
- To propagate sound outward to determine impact zones
- Not all propagation models are compatible with point, monopole source
- “Standard” models available:
  - Ray tracing, normal mode, parabolic equation, wavenumber integration
Impact assessment

Receptor sensitivity
- weighting according to species sensitivity
  - heavily used in early UK work
  - need “standard” species audiograms
- Southall *et al* (M-weighting) for mammals

Impact zones
- Need propagation model
- Need threshold levels for biological effects

Different regulations in other countries
- In Germany, maximum level stipulated
- In UK, impact zones calculated

Cumulative impact
- Use of SEL metric allows cumulative exposure to be calculated
Operational noise

- Low frequency noise during operation
- Low noise levels radiated – much lower than construction noise
- Depends on turbine operation – wind speed
- Mechanical sources – gearbox etc…
- However, noise is long-term - for duration of windfarm

Tougaard et al, JASA, 2009
Operational noise

- Noise can contain tonal components
- Frequencies depend on turbine speed
- Structural vibration couples into water column and seabed

Sigray & Andersson, JASA, 2011
Standardisation: ISO TC43 (Acoustics)

- New Sub-Committee within ISO TC43
- SC3 title: "Underwater Acoustics"
  - First Meeting of SC3 was: 11th – 13th June 2012
  - Next meeting: Berlin, May 2013

Scope of TC43 Sub-Committee 3

Standardization in the field of underwater acoustics (including natural, biological, and anthropogenic sound), including methods of measurement and assessment of the generation, propagation and reception of underwater sound and its reflection and scattering in the underwater environment including the seabed, sea surface and biological organisms, and also including all aspects of the effects of underwater sound on the underwater environment, humans and marine aquatic life.
ISO TC43 Work

Existing work

- Ship noise in deep water (WG1):
  - ISO PAS 17028 published- essentially same as ANSI S12.64
  - revision work already begun (led by USA)

New work

- Definitions and terminology (WG2)
  - New work item proposal now approved (NL proposal)
  - Countries participating: NL, UK, US, DE, DK, AU, RU, JP

- Marine impact pile driving (WG3)
  - New work item proposal now approved (UK proposal)
  - Countries participating: DE, UK, NL, US, NO, AU, IT, JP, DK

- Other future likely items:
  - Ship Noise in shallow water
  - Ambient noise,
  - Impulsive sources – air guns, explosives ...
Summary: why standardisation needed

- Needed for
  - Obtaining “correct” values
  - Harmonisation for comparison purposes

- International collaboration desirable

- Consensus view
- Open source methodology
- Peer-reviewed publications

Outstanding issues

- Standardisation topics
  - Metrics (peak, peak to peak, energy, SEL, etc)
  - Effective source level definition
  - Measurement methodology
  - All relevant data recorded

- Further research needed
  - Physical model needed for radiation mechanisms
  - Validated propagation models
  - Understand dependencies
  - Better predictive utility
  - Need to measure particle velocity and vibration (important for fish)
The National Measurement System is the UK’s national infrastructure of measurement Laboratories, which deliver world-class measurement science and technology through four National Measurement Institutes (NMIs): LGC, NPL the National Physical Laboratory, TUV NEL The former National Engineering Laboratory, and the National Measurement Office (NMO).
Table 5.2. Estimation of total acoustic energy for the largest anthropogenic sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of source</th>
<th>Order of magnitude estimate of annual average of acoustic power output in the North Sea [GJ/year]</th>
<th>Order of magnitude estimate of frequency [kHz]</th>
<th>Order of magnitude estimate of absorption [dB/km]</th>
<th>Order of magnitude estimate of total (free space) energy $E = W/(2ac)$ [kJ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airgun arrays</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind farm construction (pile driving)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosions</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation echo sounders</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisheries sonar</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military search sonar</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.1. Distribution in time and place of loud, low and mid frequency impulsive sounds

— Proportion of days and their distribution within a calendar year over areas of a determined surface, as well as their spatial distribution, in which anthropogenic sound sources exceed levels that are likely to entail significant impact on marine animals measured as Sound Exposure Level (in dB re 1μPa 2 .s) or as peak sound pressure level (in dB re 1μPa peak ) at one metre, measured over the frequency band 10 Hz to 10 kHz

11.2. Continuous low frequency sound

— Trends in the ambient noise level within the 1/3 octave bands 63 and 125 Hz (centre frequency) (re 1μPa RMS; average noise level in these octave bands over a year) measured by observation stations and/or with the use of models if appropriate
Acoustic near-field

- **Near-field** is region close to the source where waves originating from different parts of the source interfere.
- Pressure variation highly complex.
- In **far-field**, waves from all parts of the source are substantially in phase.
- In far-field, waves appear to spread spherically from “acoustic centre”.

Source Level

- **Source level** is a measure of acoustic output amplitude.
- Related to radiated acoustic power.
- Obtained from measurements in far-field projected back to 1 m away from acoustic centre.
- Units: **dB re 1 µPa at 1 m**.
- Not equal to pressure at 1 m range!
Who feels richer, a Canadian or an American?

- Both are paid in dollars, units with the same name, but different values. So you can correct for that using an exchange rate (analogous to the use of different reference pressures: 20 μPa or 1 μPa).
- But the cost of living in Canada is higher than the US, so the same amount of money does not go as far in Canada as the US, which again you can correct for using the retail price index (analogous to the different acoustical impedances).
- Finally with the same “spending power” how rich you feel depends on how much money people around you have, you need less money in India to feel rich than in the US. The final factor is a subjective factor and is probably much harder to correct for and is analogous to perceived loudness.

**Prof Paul White, ISVR**
Ideally, need to characterise source (in terms of **Source Level**)

- Would like to measure sound field
  - Variation over (large) range
  - Time variation of source output
  - Near field (ideally using arrays)
  - Field in sediment (geophones)
  - Particle velocity in field
  - Levels near to sensitive sites
  - Wideband recordings

**BUT:**
- procedure must be cost effective and logistically realistic

**In practice, what has been done is:**
- measure along individual radial transects
- entire sequence measured using static hydrophones
- limited no. of hydrophones
- restricted minimum and maximum range
Piling measurement protocols

- **GERMANY**
  - Radiated noise monitoring
    - Hydrophones at ~750 m
  - Limits placed on received levels:
    - SEL: 160 dB re 1 dB re 1 μPa²·s
    - Peak pressure of 190 dB re 1 μPa

- **NETHERLANDS**
  - Source characterisation
    - Range dependent
    - Fixed location
  - Peak pressure and SEL reported
Human response

- Divers and swimmers
- Hearing less sensitive underwater
- Bone conduction important
- Air-filled diving hood is shield
- Breathing apparatus significant source of sound
- Also power tools used by offshore divers
- No thresholds legally set