

GENERIC CALIBRATION PROCEDURE AND MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY OF NACELLE-BASED PROFILING LIDARS

A. Borraccino¹, M. Courtney¹, M. Harris², C. Slinger², M. Boquet³, R. Wagner¹

1: DTU Wind Energy, Roskilde, Denmark, +4593511124, borr@dtu.dk

2: ZephIR Lidar, Ledbury, UK, michael.harris@zephirlidar.com

3: Avent Lidar Technology, Orsay, France, mboquet@leosphere.com

Summary

In power performance testing, it has been demonstrated that the effects of wind speed and direction variations over the rotor disk can no longer be neglected for large wind turbines [1]. A new generation of commercial nacelle-based lidars is now available, offering wind profiling capabilities. Developing standard procedures for power curves using lidars requires assessing lidars measurement uncertainty that is provided by a calibration. Based on the calibration results from two lidars, the Avent 5-beam Demonstrator and the ZephIR Dual Mode (ZDM), we present in this paper a generic methodology to calibrate profiling nacelle lidars.

1. Introduction

1.1 Use of profiling lidars to assess power performance

It is now commonly accepted that ground-based profiling LIDARS can improve power performance assessment by measuring simultaneously at different heights [1]. On the other hand, although they are unable to measure wind shear, studies of two-beam nacelle lidars show promising capabilities in assessing power performance [2]. Their use could remove the need to erect expensive meteorology masts, especially offshore. A new generation of commercially developed profiling nacelle lidars combines the benefits of both (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Left: 5-beam Demonstrator (Avent Lidar Technology), right: ZephIR Dual Mode (ZephIR lidar)

1.2 The need for calibration procedures

The fundamental reason for developing calibration procedures is to assign uncertainties to lidar wind measurements. Commercial applications of lidars, e.g. power performance testing or resource assessment, demand the estimation of measurement uncertainties.

Metrology standards [4] define a calibration as a 3-step process:

- Establishing a relation between the measurand and reference quantity value;
- Derivation of uncertainties on the measurand using both the reference measurement uncertainty and calibration process components;
- Applying the calibration relation to preserve traceability in the measurement chain.

Calibration procedures for two-beam pulsed lidars [3] already exist. We have developed new procedures for profiling nacelle lidars. They are applicable to both pulsed and continuous wave lidars, irrespective of the geometry of the scanning pattern, and are therefore generic.

2. Calibration procedure principles

2.1 Levels of measurands in a lidar

Understanding the basic principles of lidars is essential to develop adequate calibration procedures. A lidar probes the wind by emitting light through a laser beam. Aerosols contained in the atmosphere scatter part of the laser light back to the lidar.

One can distinguish three levels of measurands in a lidar. The “rawest” one is the time domain of electrical current induced by the backscattered light on which spectral analysis is performed. The Doppler spectra generated then yield the Doppler frequency. The line-of-sight (LOS) velocity – or Radial Wind Speed (RWS) – is directly proportional to the Doppler frequency. Finally, algorithms combine RWS measurements to derive reconstructed wind parameters, e.g. wind speed and direction, shears, veers, etc.

2.2 Black box calibration concept

A black box calibration is a direct comparison of the reconstructed output with the reference measurand, e.g. horizontal wind speed from a cup anemometer.

The method has the advantages of being fast and relatively easy to implement, since no information is required about the raw measurement post processing and the reconstruction algorithm (the lidar system is considered as a black box).

However, some limitations must be mentioned. First, each reconstructed output should be calibrated; hence multiple calibrated instruments are needed (e.g. how would the vertical shear be measured by a reference instrument?). Next, the reconstructed output does not physically exist as it is derived from a number of RWS measurements distant in space and time.

The wind speed calibration of ground-based lidars is an example of a black box calibration.

2.3 White box calibration concept

The reconstruction algorithms combine radial wind speed measurements, beam localisation quantities – e.g. inclination and roll angles of the beam – and the geometry of the scanning pattern. An alternative methodology to the black box consists in calibrating the reconstruction algorithms' inputs. This method will be subsequently referred to as white box calibration.

The white box calibration requires access to the reconstruction algorithms and to be able to:

- calibrate the lidars internal inclinometers, both for the tilting and rolling;
- verify the scanning pattern geometry, e.g. the opening angle between two beams, or cone angle for a circular scanning pattern;
- calibrate the RWS.

2.4 Why choose the white box?

The advantages of the white box are a calibration of a physically existing quantity and a lower sensitivity to assumptions (flow horizontal homogeneity). More importantly, the uncertainty estimation of any reconstructed parameter is theoretically permitted by the white box approach. However, the physical relevance of the reconstructed parameter has to be addressed.

On the negative side, it takes longer to calibrate multi-beam lidars, as each LOS needs to be calibrated. Alternatively, one or two RWS calibrations combined with a model of deviations between beams could be used. It would also be feasible to simultaneously calibrate two or more LOS, depending on the measurement setup. To implement standard calibration procedures of commercial lidars, the reconstruction algorithms will have to be provided to the accredited calibration laboratory.

The white box calibration is a generic method that can be applied to all profiling nacelle lidars, and possibly to all lidars irrespective of their application. The required data are time-averaged (e.g. 10-min) of: calibrated measurements of horizontal wind speed (HWS, e.g. from cup anemometer) and direction (θ , e.g. from sonic anemometer); lidar RWS and beam inclination $\varphi_{\text{physical}}$. These data enable a reference equivalent RWS to be obtained by projecting the HWS onto the LOS direction (LOS_{dir}):

$$Ref_{eq\ RWS} = HWS \cdot \cos(\varphi_{\text{physical}}) \cdot \cos(\theta - LOS_{\text{dir}})$$

2.5 Main steps of the RWS calibration

The main steps of the RWS calibration are:

- a. **Geometry verification:** the parameters characterizing the geometry of the scanning pattern must be measured in order to check the manufacturer's specifications, e.g. cone

angle. Knowing the geometry, and assessing its uncertainty, is necessary for reconstructing wind parameters. These values are also used for instance to correctly configure the measurement range of the lidar during the calibration.

- b. **Inclinometers calibration:** to know accurately the beam position (see 2.6) and assign uncertainties to the inclination angle φ involved in the vertical projection of the reference HWS.
- c. **RWS field measurements:** measurement data collection, with the lidar beam carefully positioned close to a reference instrument.
- d. **RWS uncertainty assessment:** combining uncertainties from the reference and measurement process.
- e. **Reconstruction of wind parameters:** by combining LOS velocities.
- f. **Reconstructed parameters uncertainty assessment:** for instance using the GUM, or any other relevant uncertainty derivation method (e.g. Monte-Carlo or bootstrap).

3. RWS calibration uncertainty sources

Different measurement uncertainty evaluation methods exist. We have chosen to apply the GUM ("Guide to the expression of Uncertainty in Measurement").

3.1 Uncertainty definition and types

The VIM [4] is a standard document that provides definitions of metrological terminology. The VIM defines uncertainty as a "non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand, based on the information used". Two types of uncertainty components are usually considered: type A uncertainties are estimated via statistical tools, whereas other means lead to type B uncertainties. In terms of RWS calibration, type A uncertainties correspond to the variability of the measurements under repeatable conditions.

It should be noted however that atmospheric conditions are not controllable and therefore repeatable conditions do not formally exist in wind energy measurements.

3.2 Reference instrument(s) uncertainties

The **uncertainties of the HWS** measured by the cup anemometer follows IEC 61400-12-1 procedures. The different sources are:

- Wind tunnel calibration uncertainty
- Operational uncertainty
- Mounting uncertainty

The **uncertainty of the wind direction** measured by the sonic anemometer is taken from the calibration certificate.

3.3 Calibration process uncertainties

Measurement uncertainty sources in the calibration process are:

- **LOS direction uncertainty**, roughly estimated to 0.2°
- **Beam positioning uncertainty**
 - o Uncertainty of physical beam inclination
 - o Beam height uncertainty resulting in wind speed deviations. If a power law shear profile (exponent $\alpha \approx 0.2$) is used, for a height uncertainty of $\Delta H = 10\text{cm}$ at $H = 8.9\text{m}$:
$$u_{\text{height}} = \alpha \cdot \frac{\Delta H}{H} \cdot HWS \approx 0.23\% \cdot HWS$$
- **Statistical uncertainty in the RWS measurement** (type A)

3.4 Combined and expanded uncertainty of reconstructed parameters

All the uncertainty components in the previous sections are expressed for a coverage factor $k = 1$ (i.e. u corresponds to the half width of a 68% confidence interval for a normal distribution).

Using the reconstruction algorithms, RWS are combined. The GUM methodology is then applied to the reconstruction equations, generating the combined uncertainty U_c on the reconstructed parameter (see [3] for an example on HWS from 2-beam lidars). Finally, the expanded uncertainty is obtained by multiplying U_c by the desired coverage factor, e.g. $k = 2$ (95% confidence interval).

6. References

- [1] Wagner R.: "Accounting for the speed shear in wind turbine power performance measurement", [2010], Risø-PhD-58(EN), ISSN: 1095-4244.
- [2] Wagner R. et al.: "Power curve measurement with a nacelle mounted lidar", [2014], Wind Energy, Vol: 17, issue: 9, pages 1441–1453.
- [3] Courtney M.: "Calibrating nacelle lidars", [2013], DTU Wind Energy E-0020.
- [4] JCGM 101:2012: "International Vocabulary of Metrology – Basic and General Concepts and Associated Terms".