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Efficacy and Economy

"The wind turbine provides all the power for the CCTV camera"

"Doesn't this thing even stand on its own?"

"STOP GLOBAL FOOLING"

3/23/2011
Crowded Landscapes
Wildlife: Birds & Bats
Turbine Proximity to Residences
Social Acceptance: Issues

- General support is high but:
  - Strong local resistance can generate animated community debates
  - Opponents are often well organized and funded
  - Coordination among groups via the web and other social media
Social Acceptance: Issues

- Social acceptance may be a significant barrier to renewable energy policy goals
  - Wind energy has new and different impacts on landscape
    - Low energy density
    - Moving element
    - New industrial infrastructure in rustic, rural locations

Past experiences in hydro, nuclear, transmission, and wind energy provide knowledge and lessons learned

→ Good practices already exist!
KEINE WINDKRAFT IM NATURPARK

GEGENWIND HASSENDORF

GEGENWIND
Neuendorf-Sachsenbande

Sur nos monts, quand les vautours...

3/23/2011 ENCO Energie-Consulting AG
IEA Wind Task 28: Background

- International working group
  - Collect and exchange knowledge, disseminate good practices → accelerate realization of wind energy potential
  - Embedded in IEA Implementing Agreement for Co-operation in R&D of Wind Energy systems
  - Participating countries from Europe (7), North America (2) & Asia (Japan)
  - Experts from various disciplines (planners, engineers, sociologists, psychologists, environmental scientists)

Cross-country & Interdisciplinary Approach
Task 28: Work and Goals

- **Outcomes**
  - Establishment of international forum
  - Translate research results of social scientists into language of planners and engineers
  - Reports (State-of-the-Art, Good Practice)
  - Tools, Guidelines, Seminars, Publications…

**State-of-the-Art**
- State-of-the-Art Report
- Networking
- Creation online library

**Good Practice**
- Good-Practice Report
- Established Network
- Maintain online library

**Dissemination**
- International Seminar
- Publications
- Dialogue
Elements of Social Acceptance

Well-being
- Standard of living
- Quality of life
- Health, lights, noise & shadow flicker
- Valuation of ecosystems

Policy & Strategies
- National framework incentive programs
- Spatial planning
- Local implementation policy

Procedural design
- Regulatory requirements
- Fair and transparent processes
- The role of public engagement
- Provisions for cultural history / local context

Distributional justice
- Ownership models
- Regional welfare
- Creation of win-win-situations

Implementation strategies
- Visualization
- Social marketing / communication
- Checklists / guidelines
- Practical application of scientific results
Stakeholder Framework

Market acceptance
- Utilities
- Grid owners / operators
- Developers
- Financial institutions

Institutional acceptance
- National administration
- State / regional administration

Community acceptance
- Indigenous residents
- "Neo-rurals"
- Local landowners
- Local Administration / authorities
- Visitors / tourists

General acceptance
- National NGO`s
- Local NGO`s
- Opinion makers
- Policy makers
- General opinion
- Experts
- Media
- Educators
Results: Policy & Strategy

An overarching framework and with policies that facilitate local implementation can help to mitigate opposition

- **Good Practice Example: Recent Danish Policy (2008)**
  - Introduced range of issues to help implementation of national targets
    - Local option for share purchase
    - Green scheme to enhance local scenic/recreational value
    - Fund to support early stage development
    - Wind Turbine Secretariat
    - *Compensation for loss of property value*
Significant concern persists over basic nuisance issues
  - e.g., noise, aesthetics, shadow flicker

Well-being also concerns standard of living
  - Impacts of wind energy on electricity rates
  - Tax income, job creation, business activity
  - Fear of home-price depreciation

Well-being influenced by personal attitudes
  - Environmental issues
  - Place attachment
  - Feeling towards the developer or local authorities

Perhaps the single largest factor in local/community acceptance
Results: Well-being / Standard of Living

Temporal Aspects Model Result: Area and nuisance stigma

Source: Hoen et al. 2009; The Impact of Wind Power Projects on Residential Property Values in the United States: A Multi-Site Hedonic Analysis
Results: Well-being / Standard of Living

Valuation of Ecosystems

New knowledge generation & communication is important

Need to:

- Continue research and data collection
- Develop mitigation measures
- Address issues at an early stage
- Maintain a dialogue between science and society
Results: Distributional Justice

Local residents often bear a disproportionate share of wind energy’s impacts

- Broad-based sharing of benefits may alleviate some concerns of injustice
  - Positive appreciation of costs and benefits
- "Community wind" in Japan
  - Mobilization of people & capital all over the country
  - Identification with projects (e.g. with names on turbine)
  - Results in greater economic and other benefits
Good Practice Example “Social Innovation”

- Offers investors opportunities for
  - Socially responsible investment
  - Certification card
  - Name of investor on the tower
  - Direct participation in the project

- Impacts local economics
  - Direct and indirect economic returns
    - Project revenues
    - Jobs, general business activity
  - Visitors/tourism
    - 300-750 investors for each project; 90% of them have visited or intend to visit the sight.

- Creates new social networks
Results: Procedural Design

Fair process can turn affected people into involved parties

- “NIMBY” does not explain the nuances of project opposition
  - Resistance is often not project wide, but focused on specific issues (e.g., setbacks)
  - Procedures which create dialogue across stakeholder groups can assist in resolving specific issues

- Procedures and regulations are best when they consider local context
  - Wind energy issues may bring forward divides / cleavages in local communities
  - Local history, experiences and structures must be taken into account
Results: Procedural Design

- Guidelines
  - Independent assistance may be needed for local government to fairly evaluate individual projects
  - A third-party intermediary may facilitate discussion among a diverse stakeholder group
  - Developer and community expectations must be established publicly
  - Procedures must create space for compromises → debates, public assemblies and forums
Results: Implementation Strategies

Entrenched opposition may be impossible to overcome; poor project implementation may also affect future success

- **Trends**
  - Public relations planning is a necessity, in some places
  - Open and transparent communication throughout development is critical
  - Broad based education helps potential host communities understand why a given project is important

- **Good Practice Examples**
  - U.S. use of community based social networks
  - Japan community based “Wind Turbine Landscape Contest
  - Canada, Finland, U.S. and others have publicly funded education programs that focus on schools
Task 28: Current Status

- **State-of-the-Art Report** (published)
  - Today’s knowledge on Social Acceptance of wind energy
  - Basis for further work on Good Practice and Dissemination

- **Good Practices** (work in progress)
  - Description of issues of social acceptance
  - Recommendations with justifications
  - Examples

- **Dissemination** (work in progress)
  - Country specific expert meetings
  - Side events at conferences
  - Publications

[Website includes extensive database of articles and projects](http://www.socialacceptance.c)
Idea of name inscription copied in Switzerland from Japan

“We have doubled the value of knowledge by sharing”

Yasushi Maruyama, Task 28 Representative
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